REPORT TO CABINET

Open		Would any decisions proposed :							
Any especially affected Wards	Discretionary		-		t's powers to decide tions to Council	YES NO			
All coastal wards		Is it a K	ey Dec	NO					
Lead Member: Cllr Richard Blunt			1		: Cllr Ian Devereux				
E-mail: cllr.richard.blunt@west-norfolk.									
			Othe	Other Members consulted:					
Lead Officer: Peter Jermany			Other Officers consulted: Alan Gomm						
	nany@west-norfoll	<u>k.gov.uk</u>							
Direct Dial: 01553 616239						_			
Financial		Policy/Personnel Sta			Equal Impac				
Implications	•	-		ns YES	Assessment NO	Implications			
NO	NO				If YES: Pre-				
					screening/ Ful				
If not for publication, the paragraph(s) of Schedule 12A of the 1972 Local Government Act considered to justify that is (are) paragraph(s)									
Date meeting advertised: 3 rd August 2018				Date of meeting decision to be taken: 10 th August 2018					
Deadline for Call-In: 17 th August 2018									

STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND - COASTAL ZONE PLANNING

Summary

A report was taken to the Local Plan Task Group to update members in relation to the Coastal Zone Planning Statement of Common Ground on 11 July 2018. The Norfolk Strategic Planning Member Forum also considered a report on the SOCG on 12 July 2018. The intention is for each council's relevant Cabinet members covering planning and coastal matters to endorse the SOCG. In our case these are Councillors Richard Blunt and Ian Devereux.

Recommendation

Endorse the Statement of Common Ground on Coastal Zone Planning.

Reason for Decision

To demonstrate compliance with the Duty to Cooperate.

Coastal Zone Planning SOCG – Purpose

The purpose of the Coastal Zone Planning SOCG is to set out an agreed approach to coastal planning in relation to

- Demonstrating compliance with the "Duty to Cooperate";
- Agreeing shared aims for the management of the coast:
- Maintaining and developing a shared evidence base; and
- Recognising the importance of cross-boundary issues in relation to coastal management.

Background

Planners from all of the Norfolk and Suffolk coastal local planning authorities. including the Broads Authority, held a series of meetings in the latter part of 2017/early part of 2018 to share knowledge and experience and identify common interests around coastal planning processes. This Draft Coastal Zone Planning SOCG document is the outcome from those discussions. The draft document is set out at Appendix X below.

NSPF Endorsement

A report received the endorsement of the Norfolk Strategic Planning Member Forum at its meeting on 12 July for the Coastal Zone Planning SOCG to lead to its inclusion in the reviewed NSPF. At district level each planning and coastal portfolio holder is being asked to endorse the SOCG (in our case Cllr Richard Blunt and Cllr Ian Devereux).

Local Plan Approach

The current local plan approach to coastal planning is set out in Policy CS07

Policy Implications
Coastal Areas of the Adopted Core Strategy (2011) and Policy DM18 Coastal Flood Risk Hazard Zone (Hunstanton to Dersingham) of the Adopted SADMI (2016). Our existing approach is in line with the proposals in the SOCG.
The current local plan approach to coastal planning is set out in Policy C507

None.

Financial Implications

None.

Personnel Implications

None.

Statutory Considerations

The Duty to Cooperate is a statutory requirement for the local plan process.

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

(Pre screening report template attached)

Risk Management Implications

None.

Declarations of Interest / Dispensations Granted

None.

Background Papers

(Definition: Unpublished work relied on to a material extent in preparing the report that disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the report is based. A copy of all background papers must be supplied to Democratic Services with the report for publishing with the agenda)

Pre-Screening Equality Impact Assessment





	1			U				
Name of policy/service/function	Statement of Common Ground – Coastal Zone Planning							
Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function?	New							
Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened.	The purpose of the statement is to set out an agreed approach to coastal planning in relation to:							
Please state if this policy/service rigidly constrained by statutory obligations	Demonstrating compliance with the "Duty to Cooperate";							
	Agreeing shared aims for the management of the coast;							
	Maintaining and develop a shared evidence base; and							
	Recognising the importance of cross-boundary issues in relation to coastal management.							
	The Duty to Cooperate is a statutory requirement.							
Question	Answer							
1. Is there any reason to believe that the	751101				1			
policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups according to their different			Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure		
protected characteristic , for example, because they have particular needs, experiences, issues or	Age				Х			
priorities or in terms of ability to access the	Disability				Х			
service?	Gender				Х			
	Gender Re-assignment				Х			
Please tick the relevant box for each group.	Marriage/civil partnership				Х			
	Pregnancy & maternity				х			
NB. Equality neutral means no negative impact on any group.	Race				х			
	Religion or be	Religion or belief			х			
	Sexual orientation				Х			
	Other (eg low	income)			Х			
Question	Answer	Comments						
2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another?	No							
3. Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently?	No							
4. Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination?	No							
5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions?	No	Actions:						
If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section	Actions agreed by EWG			member:				
Assessment completed by: Name Peter Jermany		l						
Job title Principal Planner (Planning Policy)	Date 17 July	y 2018						

Please Note: If there are any positive or negative impacts identified in question 1, or there any 'yes' responses to questions 2-4 a full impact assessment will be required.

Norfolk and Suffolk Coastal Authorities Statement of Common Ground Coastal Zone Planning

This statement of common ground is between:

- Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk
- North Norfolk District Council
- Great Yarmouth Borough Council
- Suffolk Coastal District Council
- Waveney District Council
- The Broads Authority

The purpose of this statement is to set out an agreed approach to coastal planning in relation to:

- Demonstrating compliance with the "Duty to Cooperate";
- Agreeing shared aims for the management of the coast;
- Maintaining and develop a shared evidence base; and
- Recognising the importance of cross-boundary issues in relation to coastal management.

Background

The risk of coastal flooding and vulnerability to erosion along the coast does not respect local planning authority boundaries, and therefore coastal change needs to be considered across a wide geography. There are significant potential benefits to joint working across administrative and professional disciplines in addressing the issues of coastal planning.

A strategic approach to coastal land use and marine planning can benefit from the sharing of both issues and solutions, and inform planning practice. This is particularly the case in light of the similarity and commonality of coastal issues across the signatory planning authorities, the planning duty to cooperate, and the opportunity to build on the benefits of the existing joint Coastal Authority approach such as Coastal Partnership East.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that in coastal areas, local planning authorities should apply Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) across Local Authority and land/sea boundaries, ensuring integration of the terrestrial and marine planning regimes.

ICZM is a process which requires the adoption of a joined-up and participative approach towards the planning and management of the many different elements in coastal areas (land and marine). The recognised key principles which should guide all partners in implementing an integrated approach to the management of coastal areas are:

- A long term view
- A broad holistic approach
- Adaptive management
- Working with natural processes
- Supporting and involving all relevant administrative bodies
- Using a combination of instruments
- Participatory planning
- Reflecting local characteristics













Within the development planning system, local planning authorities should reduce risk from coastal change by; avoiding inappropriate development in vulnerable areas or adding to the impact of physical changes to the coast, as set out in the NPPF. Any area likely to be affected by physical changes to the coast should be identified as a Coastal Change Management Area.

The Flood and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance also identifies that land can be formally allocated through local plans for the relocation of both development and habitat affected by coastal change.

Note: Physical change to the coast can be (but is not limited to) erosion, coastal land slip, permanent inundation or coastal accretion.

Shared Aims

- A holistic and "whole coast" approach will be taken, recognising coastal change is an
 inevitable part of a dynamic coast. A naturally functioning coastline is desirable in principle,
 but may not appropriate in every location.
- The signatory Authorities will consider the value of aligning policy approaches.
- To have regard to the well-being of communities affected by coastal change and minimise blight.
- To **protect** the coastal environment, including nature conservation designations and biodiversity.
- To work with local businesses and the wider economy to maximise productive use of properties and facilities for as long as they can be safely and practicably utilised to promote investment, viability and vitality of the area.
- Adopt a balanced risk-based approach towards new development in Coastal Change Management Areas, in order to not increase risk, while at the same time to facilitating affected communities' adaption to coastal change.
- To promote **innovative approaches** such as techniques that enable anticipatory coastal adaptation, removal of affected structures and property roll-back or relocation.

Agreed Approach

The signatory authorities agree to work together on coastal planning issues to:

- a) Implement the principles of Integrated Coastal Zone Management;
- b) Develop shared **understanding** of coastal processes and the development planning implications of these;
- c) Share experience, **best practice** (including planning policies) and ideas for innovation;
- d) Use the adopted **Shoreline Management Plans** as a basis for development planning, recognising that defined areas may change in future and giving appropriate regard to emerging replacement Shoreline Management Plans, updated predictions of the impact of climate change or other relevant evidence;
- e) Acknowledge the importance of **coastal communities and their economies**, and foster their resilience, innovation and vitality:
- Recognise the need to relocate or protect infrastructure likely to be adversely affected by coastal change;
- g) Note the need for strategic policies on coastal change, in order to guide **neighbourhood planning**;
- h) Encourage development which is consistent with anticipated coastal change and its management and facilitates **adaptation** by affected communities and industries;
- i) Consider adopting policies to facilitate **rollback and/or relocation**, potentially including local plan site allocations or facilitating 'enabling' development;













- j) Consider adopting policies which require the use of risk assessments to demonstrate that a
 development on the coast will be safe for its planned lifetime, without increasing risk to life or
 property, or requiring new or improved coastal defences; and
- k) Consider adopting policies that seek to ensure that new or replacement **coast protection schemes** are consistent with the relevant Shoreline Management Plan and minimise adverse impact on the environment or elsewhere on the coast.

This Statement of Common Ground has been endorsed by the following:

Cllr. Ian Devereux Cllr. Richard Blunt

Cabinet member for Environment Cabinet member for Development

Borough Council of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk

Cllr. Hilary Cox Cllr. Susan Arnold

Cabinet member for Coastal Management Cabinet member for Planning

North Norfolk District Council North Norfolk District Council

Cllr. Graham Plant Cllr Carl Smith

Leader & Chair, Policy and Resource Committee Chair, Environment Committee

Great Yarmouth Borough Council Great Yarmouth Borough Council

Cllr. Andy Smith Cllr. Tony Fryatt

Cabinet member for Coastal Management Cabinet member for Planning

Suffolk Coastal District Council Suffolk Coastal District Council













Cllr. David Ritchie

Cabinet member for Planning and Coastal Management

Waveney District Council

Prof. Jacquie Burgess
Chairman, Broads Authority
Broads Authority

Melanie Vigo di Gallidoro Chairman, Planning Committee Broads Authority











